

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0172/P4dn
PJK:nwn:rs

December 1, 2009

I did not include any language about an uninsured motor vehicle or an underinsured motor vehicle being one that is “not owned or operated by a self-insurer under any applicable motor vehicle law.” I don’t understand your intent. One way to show proof of financial responsibility for the future under subch. III of ch. 344 is to be a self-insurer, so in the definition for “uninsured motor vehicle” it seems redundant to the language about the owner or operator not furnishing proof of financial responsibility for the future under subch. III of ch. 344. Is the idea that the person is a self-insurer under the law of another state? If a self-insurer is excluded from the definition of “underinsured motor vehicle,” is the idea that the person has provided proof of financial responsibility for the future under subch. III of ch. 344 in some way *other than* by being a self-insurer (since the definition specifically includes a motor vehicle for which the owner or operator has furnished proof of financial responsibility for the future under subch. III of ch. 344)?

Pamela J. Kahler
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-2682
E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.wisconsin.gov